G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1903-04.

191 .

(A.B.)

Later references.

File No. 4-03.

Serial Nos. 1-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-8.

M. ~~Part~~ B for May 1904.

SUBJECT.

Adjustment of the Salaries of work inspectors and their peons.

Enclosed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Office Note.

Office of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore. General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written inside the line of margin.

Local Boards Branch.

Subject :—

Adjustment of the Salaries of Work Inspectors and their peons.

Comptroller's No $\frac{850}{LR}$ dy 19.1.1904.

In his letter No 1253 of the 19th December 1896 the Comptroller stated that the Salaries of Work Inspectors and their peons should, in the first instance, be debited to District Funds, month after month, and that at the close of the year the charge will be apportioned among the Municipal and District Funds and the Local Funds General in proportion to the expenditure incurred therefrom and supervised by the above establishment. This was approved of by Govt in their Proc No $\frac{13938-46}{LR\ 370-96}$ of the 30th June 1897.

2. The Comptroller now reports that the above is not now feasible as under the Rules framed under

1876 Fig A

under the Local Boards Regulation each months expenditure has to be shewn in the District Boards and Treasury Accounts of the same month without delay. To obviate this difficulty he proposes that the entire pay including Travelling Allowance and Contingent Charges of the Work Inspectors may, for facility of audit, be debited to District Funds the Municipal Funds and Local Funds General paying Contribution monthly to District Funds in the proportion fixed below.

Municipal Funds 3/7
Local Funds Genl 1/7
(Distt Funds 3/7)

3 To justify the above proportions the Comptroller furnishes the expenditure from these three funds for the past 3 years The figures are as given below

Year	Expenditure from Distt Funds	Municipal Funds	L.F. General
1900-01	7,623-7-1	7,849-9-10	4,362-8-8
1901-02	8,603-14--	8,784-2-11	2,635-14-7
1902-03	7,536-13-5	10,673----	1,408-9-8

4. The Comptroller suggests that the Presidents of Municipal ~~District~~ Boards should issue a cheque at the beginning of each month for 1/12th of the amount provided in their Budget on account of cost of Supervision of works in favour of the Presidents of District Boards for realization and Credit to District Funds.

5. If his suggestions are approved the Comptroller says that he would issue necessary instructions to the Presidents and the Treasury Officers.

6. In the Kolar Gold Fields ~~Area~~ Area the entire Supervision charge is debitable to the funds of the Sanitary Board. Lately the Govt in their Prop No 2571-8 KZ 93-97 dt 11th January 1904 declined to sanction funds from the L.B.G.F. for new works. Rs 5,000/- was granted for Sanitary works from this fund in the Chitaldroog Dist (as a special case). Lapsed grants have however been renewed for the Completion of the incomplete works in all the Districts during the current Official year. ~~Gradually~~ In the

the near future; the expenditure from the Local Funds General on works carried out by the Revenue Department would be almost nothing. Generally no provision is made on account of Supervision charges on grants for works from Local Funds General, but the Municipal and District Fund Budgets contain 10% on this account Expenditure on works in all the Districts is not uniform, as from the annual reports it is clear that percentage of lapses varied considerably. To avoid these inequalities involved in the Comptrollers proposal, it is suggested that the Comptroller may be asked to include in his monthly accounts — Muni. Distt Funds and Local Funds General — the Supervision charges in proportion to the expenditure actually incurred from these two funds and included in the accounts for each month

Submitted for orders

30/1/04

29/1/04.

7. The Local Funds General

ont by the Revenue Department
(including Supervision Charges)
will be treated as Contributions
to District funds

5/2/04

11. This ~~case~~ has
simplicity at any rate to
be said in favour of it -
An order may be passed
accordingly - No better
solution seems possible

5/2/04

Sd/ to the Hon'ble [illegible] 5/2/04

15748
6.2.04

12 Papers
sent
8.II.04

96.V.IC
Org 10/2 9/2/04

Drafts HCIC

12.2.04 Secy [illegible] 17/2/04

13. Orders as contained in
Para 10 Supra were issued in
Flag A G.I.P. No 3068-76 & 19th Ultimo.
DZ 320.96
14. With reference to the above
said

Said order the Comptroller enquires No 1080/25 & 10.3.04.
how the moiety of the charges
debited to Municipal Funds are
to be apportioned among the
Minor Municipalities as under
the old order (order of the 30th
June 1897) the charges incurred
were distributed in proportion
to the amount of work Super
vised by them in the Res
pective Municipalities at
the close of the year but that
under the recent ruling such
charges are to be adjusted
monthly

Flag B

14. Submitted for orders as
to whether the Comptroller may
~~22.3.04~~ be informed that the
Municipal quota of the pay
etc of the Work Inspectors should
be debited in proportion to
the amount of works Super-
vised by them in the respective Muni-
cipalities, the monthly charges in the first instance, for facility of audit,
being debited against any one Municipality
and in each District under the head
22.3.04. "Suspens." (with draft)
and adjusted in the annual
accounts for June (with draft)

RJ 30/3/04.

JB 31/3/04

(1)

L 5548

~~189~~ 1904

Section LB.
File No 4-82.
Serial No 1.

From Henry Eagles Esq
Offg. ~~The~~ Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esq
~~The~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 19th January 04
Despatched 20th
Received
L. F. No. 850
No.

Subject Matter.

Submitting for approval certain proposals to obviate the difficulty experienced in adjusting monthly the expenditure incurred on account of pay of Work Inspectors, which is debitable to Mining District, and Local Funds in certain proportions.

21/1/04

Deputy H.V.K
29.1.04 Secy 21/1/04

L. F. No. 850 OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 19th January ~~188~~ 1904

From H. Eagles Esq.
~~E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,~~
Offg *Comptroller, Mysore Govt.,* Bangalore

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esq.
~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
Genl and Rev *Depts*

SIR,

I have the honor to state that under Government Order No. 13938-46/L.F.~~F.W.P~~ 320-96 dated 30th June 1897 the pay of Work Inspectors was hitherto debited in the first instance in full to 'District Funds' and the total cost adjusted at the close of each year by this office between the District Funds Municipal Funds, and Local Funds General in proportion to the total expenditure paid from each Funds on account of cost of works executed during the year, but under the Local Fund rules promulgated recently, no adjustment can be effected in the books of this office, and each month's expenditure is to be shown in the District Boards and Treasury Accounts of the same month without delay. To meet this ~~difference~~ difficulty I beg to make the following proposals for Government Approval

(1) The entire pay including Travelling Allowance and Continjent charges of the Inspectors may, for facility of audit, be debited to District Funds, the Municipal Funds, and Local Funds General paying contribution monthly to District Funds in the proportion fixed below-

(2) From the Statement attached hereto it will be found that the average amounts debited to District and municipal Funds an account of the pay &c of Works Inspectors during the last 3

years do not differ very considerably while that debited to Local Funds General is about 1/7th of the total Expenditure.The pay and other charges of the Inspectors may therefore be distributed in the proportions given below:——

3/7th to District Funds

3/7th to Municipal Funds

1/7th to Local Funds General

(3) The President, Municipal Board and Local Funds Board will issue a Cheque at the beginning of each month for 1/12th of the amount provided in their Budgets an account of cost of supervision of works in favor of the President of District Funds for realization and credit to this fund-

If the above proposals meet with the approval of Government ,necessary instructions will be issued by this office to the Presidents and the Treasury officers.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Offg. Comptroller.

N.C.K.

Pay of Insp. Inspection abstracts of the Accounts for the last 3 years

No	Name of District	Dist. Fund			Municipal Fund			Local Fund General			Remarks.
					1900-1901						
1	Bangalore	1074	13	8	893	13	-	994	7	4	✓
2	Kolar	2058	8	-	1034	12	1	315	3	1	✓
3	Tumkur	734	3	3	668	1	9	426	8	9	✓
4	Shemoga	876	8	-	1071	2	1	433	13	9	✓
5	Kadoor	74	-	4	511	7	3	781	8	5	✓
6	Chitaldroog	901	9	5	816	8	7	481	13	1	✓
7	Mysore	1089	13	8	4214	10	3	341	5	11	✓
8	French Rocks	101	8	11	343	8	1	337	15	-	✓
9	Hassan	712	5	10	2085	10	9	239	13	4	✓
		7623	7	1	7849	9	10	4362	8	8	✓
					1901-1902.						
1	Bangalore	728	2	11	1514	9	6	938	7	-	✓
2	Kolar	2071	7	-	729	10	1	372	6	1	✓
3	Kolar Gold Fields	154	8	-	✓	.	.	-	-	-	
4	Tumkur	712	6	11	1149	4	2	221	11	6	✓
5	Shemoga	1302	2	2	1037	6	4	138	6	3	✓
6	Kadoor	84	7	6	842	7	-	367	4	1	✓
7	Chitaldroog	1139	1	3	899	6	11	160	11	9	✓
8	Mysore	1400	7	5	574	2	11	116	12	10	✓
9	French Rocks	237	7	2	211	5	6	107	3	3	✓
10	Hassan	723	11	8	1825	4	6	212	15	10	✓
		8603	14	-	8784	2	11	2635	14	7	✓
					1902-1903.						
1	Bangalore	801	4	7	2073	-	-	315	4	9	✓
2	Kolar	1738	10	3	1548	-	-	49	6	1	✓
3	Kolar Gold Fields	337	-	-	✓	.	.	-	-	-	✓
4	Tumkur	357	7	3	858	-	-				✓
5	Shemoga	1760	1	7	1134	-	-	262	5	10	✓
6	Kadoor	216	1	5	1555	-	-	14	13	9	✓
7	Chitaldroog	1028	13	8	985	-	-	216	9	4	✓
8	Mysore	1144	11	8	409	-	-	295	16	6	✓
9	French Rocks	219	13	3	596	-	-	-	-	-	✓
10	Hassan	452	13	9	1545	-	-	254	1	2	
		7536	13	5	10673	-	-	1408	9	8	

Dy Engr
Dr Lamb to Mr

Section KW
File No 4-03
Serial No 2

(144)

Read para 4 of Govt Proc
No 13938-196 & the 30th
LH 370-96
June 1897 approving of
the Comptroller's suggestion in his
to debit the Salaries of
Work Inspectors and their
peons to District Funds
in the first instance, and
at the close of the year
to apportion the charge
among the Municipal
and District Funds and
the Local Funds General
in proportion to the
expenditure incurred
therefrom and supervised
by the above said Establish-
ment.

Read.

2. Letter No 850/LH, the
19th January 1904 from
the Comptroller in Mysore
Stating that the procedure pre-
scribed in the order read above

as possible ~~at present~~
as under the Rules framed
under the Local Boards
Regulation each months
expenditure from Distt
Funds has to be shewn
in the District Board's and
Treasury Accounts of
the same month without
delay; ~~and~~ & proposing therefore to
debit the entire pay in-
cluding Travelling Allowance
and Contingent charges
of Work Inspectors to
District Funds for
facility of audit, the
Municipal Funds and
the Local Funds General
shewing contribution
monthly to District
Funds in the proportion
of 3/7 to 2/7 respectively & also stating
~~and that the~~ that on receipt
of orders approving of the above
suggestion, necessary instructions
will be issued to the Presidents and the Treasury Officers.

Order thereon No 3068-96
LB 320-96
D 19/1/04
Government.

Re. S. Copy sent to
Comptroller 19/1/04

consider

Government ~~desire~~ that it would be a more ~~good~~ satisfactory ~~the procedure proposed by~~ arrangement if ~~the Comptroller is not quite satisfied and direct that, in modifications of the existing orders~~, the Salary of Work Insprs including the and their peons, ~~and their~~ travelling allowance and Contingent charges ~~should in future be~~ were debited equally to District and Municipal Funds. The existing orders will accordingly be modified. Provisions should in future ~~accordingly~~ be made for the above charges in the District and the Municipal Boards' Budgets commencing from 1904-05 and such provisions should not exceed 10 % of the cost of works as laid down in the order of the 30th June 1897 read above.

[ ] added subject to approval.

2. The grants occasionally made from the Local Funds General for incomplete and special works to be carried out by the Revenue Department

Should

Should be treated as
contribution to District
Funds

2nd Cr and
Dewan's order 3

17/2/04

Offg Secretary

To WB 15/2/04 Dr J 13/2/04 15/2 Print

The Comptroller. m Mysore

The Presidents of District
and Municipal Boards.

3 L2038

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
15 MAR 1904
GENERAL REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Section 202
File No 4-93
Serial No 3

From Henry Eagles Esqr.
The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To B.K. Venkatavaradaa Ayyangar Esqr
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Rev & Genl Depts.

To the Secretary 13/3/1904

Dated 10/3/1904

Despatched 12th.

Received

L. F. No. 1080
No. L7.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Ref G.O. No. 3068-76 / L7320-96 of 19th ultimo requests to inform this office how the moiety of the charges debited to Mul: Funds are to be apportioned among the minor Municipalities as under the old order the charges incurred were distributed in proportion to the amount of work Supervised by them in the respective municipalities at the close of the year.

14.3.1904
Note Cntd for orders

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

L. F. No. 1080/27 *Bangalore,* 10th March 1904.

From Henry Eagles Esq
~~E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,~~
Offg *Comptroller, Mysore Govt.* Bangalore

To B. K. Venkata Varadarajaiengar Esq
~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
General Rev *Depts*
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. Sl 14½ 3068-76/L.F.320-96 dated 19th ultimo modifying the existing order in ~~regarding~~ the adjustment of charges on account of pay of Work Inspectors' Travelling and Contingent allowances & I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform this office how the moiety of the charges debited to Municipal Funds are to be apportioned among the minor Municipalities as under the old order the charges incurred were distributed in proportion to the amount of work supervised by them in the respective Municipalities at the close of the year. But under the recent ruling such charges are to be adjusted monthly.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Offg. Comptroller
Govt of Mysore.

M.V.S.

Very Urgent

Office Draft. (Docket.)

(16)

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Branch.

Section C.B.
No 4-03
Serial No 4.

To

The Comptroller to the
Govt of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore

Date of passing the draft 9/4/04
Date of despatch 9/4/04

Regd. No. 3912
File No. & date (if any) 320-96

No. of Encls.

Has the honour to request that the Comptr will be good enough to submit so as to reach this office on the 18th Instant a statement in the marginally noted form shewing the existing strength of Work Inspors in each District in the State as per the latest audited accounts of his office.

By order

9/4/04

Dy Secretary

9/4/04

Work Inspors		Peons attached to the Work Inspors
No	Name	No

This District employs Talukwise No. of Work Inspors which are attached.

Abstract statement shewing the number of temporary Work Inspectors or Maistries and Peons attached to them, employed for the supervision of works undertaken by the Revenue Agency from Municipal & District Funds and also Local Funds General

No	Local Fund Circle	No of Talukas & Sub-Talukas	Work Inspectors or Maistries: No	Rate of Pay & Allowances	Annual cost	Peons: No	Rate of Pay	Annual cost	Total No of Work Inspr & Peons employed: No	Total Cost	Remarks
1.	Bangalore	9 Taluks	9	15+10	2700	"	"	"	10	3000	Cost met from Taluk Funds a portion of 10 per cent on D. F. and L. F. Cl
		1 Sub Tk	1	15+10	300						
2	Kolar	11 Taluks & 4 Sub Tks	3	20+5	900						
			4	15+5	960	11	5	660			Do Do
			4	12+5	816						
	Total		11		2676	11	5	660	22	3336	
3	Tumkur	9 — Do	9	15+5	2160	"	"	"	9	2160	Do Do also Muzrai funds
4	Mysore	8	8	16+5	2016	"	"	"	8	2016	Do
5	French Rocks	5	1	30+10							Do
			1	15+6		1	6	72			
	Total	5	2	-	732 ⊕36	1	-	72	3	840	⊕ contingencies
6	Hassan	7	1	30+15	600						Do
			1	25+10	420						
			1	25+7	384		6	504			
			1	20+10	360	7	5	~~420~~ 504			
			1	20+7	324						
			2	15+7	~~528~~						
	Total	7	7	-	~~2646~~	7	~~6~~ 5	~~420~~ 504	14	~~2976~~ 3120	
7	Shimoga	Shimoga & 8 Taluks	1	20	240						Paid from Mul funds cost met from Mul D F & L F Cl.
			3	20+7	972	8	6	576			
			5	15+7	1320						
	Total		9	"	2532	8	6	676	17	3108	
8	Kadur	5	3	25+10 & 30+10	1440	3	8	288	6	1728	— Do —
9	Chelaldevy	9	3	20+6	936	9	6	648			— Do —
			6	15+6	1512						
	Grand Total	9	9	"	2448	9	6	648	18	3096	Grand Total 22404

Local Fund Levels	Talukas	Designation	Cost Per mensem	Cost Per annum	Remarks
6. Hassan	1. Hassan and the Sub-Taluk	1. Mestri or work Inspector on 35+15	~~45~~ 50		C/O 6265-6 / 320-96 of
	2. Mayarabad	1 Do 25+10	35		18-11-98 (Sl 78)
	3. Arsikere	1 Do 25+7	32		
	4. Belur	1 Do 20+10	30		
	5. Chennarayapatna	1 Do 20+7	27		
	6. Arkalgud	1 Do 15+7	22		
	7. Holenarasipur	1 Do 15+7	22	261b ~~264~~	
	7 Talukas	7 Peons at Rs 6 each	35	~~420~~ 504	
7. Shimoga	3 Talukas	3 work Inspectors on 20+7	81		Proc C/O 6601-602 / 320-96
		5 — Do — 15+7	110	2292	of 8-12-97 (Sl 6
		8 Peons to Do @ 6Rs each	48	576	Municipal & D.F
	Shimoga Municipality	1 work Inspector	20	240	Mil funds Proc C/O 587 5-6 / 320-96 of 23/11/97 (Sl 47)
8. Kadur	5 Talukas	1 Chickmagalur on 25+10 raising to 30+10	40 maximum		Proc C/O 17058-5 / 320-96
		1. Tarekere — Do —	40		of 24-4-99 (Sl 10
		3. Kadur — Do —	40	1440	
		3 Peons on 8Rs each p.m	24	288	
9 Chitaldroog	9 Talukas & Sub Talukas	3. Taluk Maistries or work Inspectors at Rs 20+6 each p.m	78		
		6 — Do (15+6) —	126	2448	
		9 Peons at 6 Rs each p.m	54	648	Sl. 79

Establishment of work Inspectors as existing and as proposed

No.	Circles	As existing								As proposed								Remarks
		No. of Taluks & Sub-Tks	Work Inspectors			Peons			Total annual cost	Work Inspectors			Peons			Total annual cost		
			No.	Rate	Amount	No.	Rate	Amount		No.	Rate	Amount	No.	Rate	Amount			
1	Bangalore	10	10	15+10		-	-	-	3000	4 6	20+10 15+10	120 150	-	-	-	3240		
2	Kolar	11	3 4 4	20+5 15+5 12+6	75 80 68	11	5	55	3336	⊕ 5	25+7	160	-	-	-	1920		⊕ Board proposes to have 10 on (on 15+7).
3	Tumkur	9	9	15+5	180	-	-	-	2160	2 4	20+7 15+7	54 88	-	-	-	1704		-
4	Mysore	8	8	16+5	168	-	-	-	2016	4 4	15+7 20+7	88 108	8	6	48	2928		

			1	30+10	40												
5	French Rocks	5	1	15+6	21	1	6	6									
		Contingencies			36				840								
			1	35+15	50					1	35+15	50					
			1	25+10	35					1	25+15	40					
			1	25+7	32					1	25+10	35	5	6	30		
6	Hassan	7	1	20+10	30	7	6	42		2	20+10	60					
			1	20+7	27												
			2	15+7	44												
									3120							2580	
			1	20	20					4	20	80					The report is silent as regards horse allowance & grow.
7	Shimoga	8	3	20+7	81	8	6	48		5	15	75					
			5	15+7	110				3108								
8	Kadur	5	3	25+10+10+30+10		3	8	24	1728		Same scale					1728	
			3	20+6	78												
9	Chitaldrug	9	6	15+6	126	9	6	54			Same scale						
									3096							3096	

Wrt. Lrr 71

1904.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
14 APR 1904
Bangalore
L & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Section LD.
File No 4-03
Serial No 5

From The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To L. Ramdas Venkatesa Rau Esqr

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Rev & Genl Dept.s.

Dated 12th April 1904

Despatched 14/4/04.

Received,

L. F. No. 1190

No. L.7.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Forwards in the form annexed in No: 3912/L.7.320.96 of 11 inst. a statement showing the existing strength of Work Inspectors in each district of the Province.

L.7
1 & 2 cm

Rd 18/4/04 GG

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

L. F. No. 1190/L.7

Bangalore, 13th April 1896 ~~189~~.

From Henry Eagles Esquire

~~E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.~~

Offg Comptroller, Mysore Govt. Bangalore

To L. Ananda Swamy Row Esquire BA.

Offg Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Rev Depts,

Sir,

I have the honor to forward in the form annexed in your docket No. 3912 dated 27-3-96 11th instant a Statement Shewing the Existing Strength of Work Inspectors in each District of the Province as per Audit Registers.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Hy Eagles

Offg Comptroller
Govt of Mysore
Bangalore

Statement Showing the existing Strength of Work Inspectors in each Districts of the Mysore as called for in docket No 3912 dated 11th April 1906. RA 320-96

no.	Districts and Names of Taluq or Taluqs to which Work Inspectors attached	Work Inspectors			Peons attached to the W. Inspectors		Remarks.
		no	Rate p day	allowance (Horse)	no	Pay	
	Bangalore District						
1	Bangalore Taluq	1	15	60	"	"	
2	Chennapatanna "	1	15	60	"	"	
3	Closepette "	1	15	60	"	"	
4	Magadi "	1	15	60	"	"	
5	Doddaballapur "	1	15	60	"	"	
6	Kankanhully "	1	15	60	"	"	
7	Anekal "	1	15	60	"	"	
8	Hoskote "	1	15	60	"	"	
9	Devanahally "	1	15	60	"	"	
10	Nelamangala "	1	15	60	"	"	
	Kolar Distt						
1	Kolar Taluq	1	20	5	1	5	
2	Bowringpet Taluq	1	20	5	1	5	
3	Chickballapur "	1	20	5	1	5	
4	Chintamany "	1	15	5	1	5	
5	Sidlaghatta "	1	15	5	1	5	
6	Malur "	1	15	5	1	5	
7	Bangarpet "	1	12	5	1	5	
8	Gudibanda "	1	12	5	1	5	
9	Gowribidanur "	1	12	5	1	5	
10	Srinivaspur "	1	12	5	1	5	
	Kolarfield Fields	1	25	10 *			* Local Allowance
		2	15	"	"	"	Maistries.
	Tumkur Distt						
1	Tumkur Taluq	1	15	7	"	"	
2	Maddagere "	1	15	7	"	"	

3	Kuchanakanhalli	1	15	7	✓	-	-	
4	Sira Taluq	1	15	7	✓	-	-	Drawn from January 1902.
5	Gubbi "	1	15	7	✓	-	-	
6	Tiptur "	1	15	7	✓	-	-	
7	Pavugada "	1	15	7	✓	-	-	9
8	Kunigal "	1	15	7	✓	-	-	
9	Kortagere "	1	15	7	✓		-	Drawn from July 1902 and June 1903 not drawn from July
10	Devanekere "	1	15	7	✓			vacant ✓
11	Kadigenahalli "	1	15	7	✓			do
	Mysore District							
1	Mysore Taluq	1	16	5				
2	Yedatore "	1	16	5				
3	Hunsur "	1	16	5				
4	T. Narsipur "	1	16	5				
5	Heggadevankote "	1	16	5				
6	Nanjangud "	1	16	5				
7	Gundlupet "	1	16	5				
	French Rocks	3	16	5				
	Hassan District							
1	Hassan Taluq	1	35	15	1	6	A	+ WO 12014-21 dy 3.10.96
2	Manjarabad "	1	25	10	1	6		The allowance of Rs 10 Karkeen cattle held from Nov 1902 and pay of the Inspector ceased to be drawn from Oct 1902 & that of Peon from Feby 1903.
3	Belur Taluq	1	20	10	1	6		
4	Arsikere "	1	25	7	1	6		+ The allowance of Rs 7 ceased to be drawn from August 1902 & pay of the Inspector from August 1902 & that of Peon from May 1902 —
5	Channarayapatna	1	20	7	1	6		
6	Arkalgud "	1	20	7	1	6		
7	Kole Narsipur	1	15	7	1	6		Services dispensed with vide Govt. No 13799-80 dy 16-3-96 & 320-96
	Shimoga District							
1	Shimoga Taluq	1	20	7	1	6		
2	Honnali "	1	15	7	1	6		
3	Sagar "	1	20	7	1	6		
4	Shimoga "	1	20	7	1	6		
5	Sorab "	1	15	7	1	6		
6	Shikarpur "	1	15	7	1	6		
7	Nagar "	1	15	7	1	6		

	Chittaldroog Distt							
1	Chittaldroog Taluq	1	20	6 ✓				
2	Challakere "	1	15	6 ✓				
3	Hiriyur "	1	15	6 ✓				
4	Davangere "	1	20	6. ✓				
5	Holalkere "	1	15	6. ✓				
6	Jagalur "	1	15	6. ✓				
7	Molkalmuru "	1	15	6 ✓				
8	Hosadurga "	1	15	6 ✓				
9	Harihar Sub Taluq	1	20	6. ✓				
	Kadoor Distt							
1	Chickamagalur	1	25 ✓	10	1	8		Vernacular Newspaper Circulation Register no 13090-8 of 7th Feby 02 Dr. 320-96
2	Tarikere "	1	25 ✓	10	1 ✓	8		
3	Kadoor "	1	25 ✓	10	1 ✓	8		

Comptroller's Office
Local Fund Department
Bangalore 2nd April 02

H. Eagles
Asst Comptroller
Local Fund Department
Bangalore

Section XB.
No 4-03.
Serial No 6.

Bangalore
19th. April 04

Dear Mr. Anantaswamy Rao,

Your D.O. of this date regarding the apportionment of the pay and allowances of the Work Inspectors among the minor Municipalities, to hand. In reply I have to state that the simplest course will be to debit in equal proportions to all the minor Municipalities the pay and allowamces of the Inspectors, and that by this arrangement one minor Municipality ~~will~~ may gain a little while another ~~will~~ may lose a little. If such apportiment does not meet with your approval the arrangement proposed by you may be adopted.

Yours Sincerely

M Eagles

Section KB.
No 4 C3.
Serial No 7

Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Revenue Secretariat
Bangalore
19 April 1904

Dear Mr. Eagles.

Please refer to your letter No 1080/KB dated the 10th March 1904 enquiring how the monthly pay & allowances of Work Inspectors debited to Municipal Funds are to be apportioned among the Minor Municipalities, as under the recent ruling such charges are to be adjusted monthly.

I am to request the favour of your informing me the result of your suggestions in the matter.

Yours Sincerely
17/4/04

19.0 Dec 1904

I think that the Municipal quota of the pay &c of the Work Inspectors should be debited in proportion to the amount of works supervised by them in the respective Municipalities, the monthly charges in the first instance being debited for facility of work against any one Municipality in the District under the head "Suspense" & adjusted in the final accounts for June.

May I know if this will do or what other arrangement you would propose?

Jo H Eagles Esq
Hg Cantonments
Bangalore

To the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,

Local Boards Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

Section LBB.
File No 4-83.
Serial No 8.

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read :—

Letter No. 1080 / LB dated 7
10th March 1904 from th
Comptroller in Mysore
enquiring, with referenc
to G.P. No 3068-76.
LB 320-9
dated the 19th February 1904
how the moiety of Charg
on account of pay, tra
velling allowances etc
of Work Inspectors de-
bited to Municipal
Funds are to be apportion
among the minor Munic
ipalities and stating
what under G.P. No 13938-46
LB 320-96
of the 30th June 1897 such
Charges were distributed
at the close of the year
in proportion to the
amount of work Super
vised by the Work Inspector

in the respective Municipalities but that under the order of the 6th February 1904 they have to be adjusted monthly

Order thereon No 4304 – 12 12/5/04
LB 320-96

D

The Comptroller is informed that the ~~order of the 30th June 1897 so far as it relates to the mode~~ Charges in question may for convenience of audit, be debited monthly against any one Municipality in each District under the head Expense and adjusted in the accounts for June ~~1897~~ (or last month of each year) by debiting the ~~Council~~ portion thereof chargable to the other Municipalities in the District in proportion to the Cost of Works Supervised by the Work Inspectors and crediting the same to the aforesaid Municipality

Approved 10/5/04

WB 9.V.04

LB

10/5/04

WB 31/3/04. Offg Secretary 30/3/04

To The Comptroller in Sydney
The Presidents of Municipalities in the State

Memo to Mr Fisher 10/5/04